1	LOREN S. YOUNG, ESQ.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 7567 CAROLINE ROSKE REILLY, ESQ.			
3	Nevada Bar No. 13236 LINCOLN, GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LLP			
4	ATTORNEYS AT LAW 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200			
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 257-1997			
6	Facsimile: (702) 257-2203 lyoung@lgclawoffice.com			
7	creilly@lgclawoffice.com			
8	Attorneys for Defendant, TARGET CORPORAT	ttorneys for Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION		
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12	KENNETH SPEARMAN,	CASE NO.: 2:20-cv-01789-APG-EJY		
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO		
14	V	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES		
15	V.	(First Request)		
16	TARGET CORPORATION, a foreign			
17	Corporation; DOES 1-20 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1-20, inclusive,			
18	Defendants.			
19				
20	Plaintiff, KENNETH SPEARMAN, by ar	nd through his attorney of record, RICHARD		
21	HARRIS, ESQ., SAMANTHA A. MARTIN, ES	SQ. of the RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM; and		
22	Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, by and	through its attorneys of record, LOREN S.		
23	YOUNG, ESQ., and CAROLINE R. R	REILLY, ESQ. of the law firm LINCOLN,		
24	GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LLP, hereby stipulate and request that the Court extend the discovery and			
25	dispositive motion deadlines by approximately ninety (90) days. This extension is not sought for the			
26	purpose of delay or for any other untoward purpose. This stipulation is based on the fact that additional			
27	time is necessary to conduct discovery; the majority of which relates to the COVID-19 directives. This			
28	is the parties' first request to extend any discovery and dispositive motion deadlines in this matter.			
	r	and dispositive monon deadines in this matter.		

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, the parties state as follows:			
2	I. DISC	COVERY COMPLETED TO DATE		
3	a.	The parties conducted the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference.		
4	b.	The parties have exchanged initial disclosures of documents and lists of witnesses		
5		and supplements thereto.		
6	c.	Defendant has propounded requests for production of documents and interrogatories		
7		on Plaintiff. Plaintiff has responded to these requests.		
8	d.	Plaintiff has propounded requests for production of documents and interrogatories		
9		on Defendant. Defendant's responses are due on December 14, 2020.		
10	e.	Plaintiff has served his First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents		
11		pursuant to FRCP 26.		
12	II. DISC	OVERY TO BE COMPLETED		
13	a.	Deposition of Plaintiff and medical examination pursuant to FRCP 35.		
14	b.	Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) designee(s) of TARGET.		
15	c.	Depositions of Plaintiff's treating physicians.		
16	d.	Disclosure of expert witnesses and rebuttal.		
17	e.	Depositions of fact witnesses.		
18	f.	Depositions of expert witnesses.		
19	g.	Additional written discovery.		
20	h.	Supplemental responses to written discovery.		
21	i.	Other discovery as necessary.		
22	The above	e list is made without prejudice to the parties' ability to conduct additional discovery		
23	consistent with th	e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27				
28	///			

1

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 || ,

19

20 | /

21 || 22 ||

23

24 | /

25 || /

26 || ///

27 ||

28

III. REASONS WHY THE DEADLINES CANNOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE CURRENT SCHEDULE

This matter is a personal injury claim, where the Plaintiff is alleging injuries due to a slip and fall on the premises of Defendant in Las Vegas, Nevada. Currently, Plaintiff is claiming past medical damages and other damages. The parties have been participating in the discovery process, however, there is pertinent discovery that remains to be completed.

Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused scheduling issues that have and may possibly continue to result in delays. Defendant is in the process of obtaining Plaintiff's past medical records. Until such time that Defendant has received Plaintiff's complete medical records, Defendant's experts cannot conduct a medical records review, perform an F.R.C.P. 35 examination, and expert reports. Consequently, additional time is necessary in order to complete the expert disclosures and remaining discovery. The parties agree that this request is not made for the purpose of delay, but to ensure a just adjudication of the case on the merits, and that neither party will be prejudiced by the requested extension. The parties will continue to work cooperatively with each other to complete discovery.

///

//

///

///

/// ///

///

///

/// ///

///

|| ///

///

1

İ

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

. .

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend discovery deadlines as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	PROPOSED DEADLINE
Discovery Deadline	May 24, 2021	August 23, 2021
Las Day to Amend Pleadings/ Add Parties	February 23, 2021	May 24, 2021
Initial Expert Disclosure	March 25, 2021	June 23, 2021
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	April 26, 2021	July 26, 2021
Dispositive Motions	June 23, 2021	September 21, 2021
Pretrial Order	July 23, 2021	October 21, 2021

DATED this 10th day of December, 2020.

DATED this 10th day of December, 2020.

LINCOLN, GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LLP

RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM

/s/ Samantha A. Martin /s/ Caroline Roske Reilly

SAMANTHA A. MARTIN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12998 801 S. Fourth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff, Kenneth Spearman

LOREN S. YOUNG, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7567

CAROLINE ROSKE REILLY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13236

3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Defendant, Target Corporation

IT IS SO ORDERED:

/:\p-t\spearman_target\atty notes\drafts\pidgs\20201210_sao extend_crr.docx

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: December 10, 2020